

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,575	01/27/2004	Evan Harris Walker	459050-2000.1	3892
20999	7590 10/28/2005	EXAMINER		INER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C	
745 FIFTH A NEW YORK	VENUE- 10TH FL. , NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/766,575	WALKER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deborah C. Lambkin	1626			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ily 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,25-27,34 and 35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27,34 and 35</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.			
		DEBORAHO LAMBKIN PRIMARY EXAMBER			
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(270.440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/12/04.	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/766,575

Art Unit: 1626

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the few examples given, does not reasonably provide enablement for all and any substance that may or may not meet the criteria recited in the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have to experiment unduly to determine which compounds or substances beyond the examples given would work as "a substantially biologically inert proto-drug", "a substantially inert activation drug", "a differentially selective moiety", "a toxic moiety", "a cap moiety " and "substantially inert". These terms are vague and indefinite and not adequately supported in the instant specification such that one would not know where to search for prior art nor can the metes and bounds be ascertained. Because of the specific nature of the interactions required of these substances as recited, it is unpredictable what substance would or would not work in the absence and more guidance and working examples. For example, can the toxic moiety be mercury or cyanide, or can the biologically inert proto-drug be water or silica, who knows from applicant's description and enablement.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,575

Art Unit: 1626

In all due respect to applicant, the claims are unduly broad, vague and indefinite.

One cannot practice this invention as claimed without undue experimentation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27, 34 and 35 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 571-272-0698.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on 571-272-0699.

Deborah C. Lambkinmary Examiner

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626